

The Entity-Relationship Model

Excerpt from
Chapter 2, "Database Management Systems" 3ed, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Overview of Database Design



- *Conceptual design*: *(ER Model is used at this stage.)*
  - What are the *entities* and *relationships* in the enterprise?
  - What information about these entities and relationships should we store in the database?
  - What are the *integrity constraints* or *business rules* that hold?
  - A database `schema' in the ER Model can be represented pictorially (*ER diagrams*).
  - Can map an ER diagram into a relational schema.

ER Model Basics



- *Entity:* Real-world object distinguishable from other objects. An entity is described (in DB) using a set of *attributes*.
- *Entity Set*: A collection of similar entities. E.g., all employees.
  - All entities in an entity set have the same set of attributes. (Until we consider ISA hierarchies, anyway!)
  - Each entity set has a *key*.
  - Each attribute has a *domain*.

ER Model Basics (Contd.)





- *Relationship*: Association among two or more entities. E.g., Henry works in Pharmacy department.
- *Relationship Set*: Collection of similar relationships.
  - An n-ary relationship set R relates n entity sets E1 ... En; each relationship in R involves entities e1∈E1, ..., en∈En
    - Same entity set could participate in different relationship sets, or in different "roles" in same set.

Key Constraints



- Consider Works_In: An employee can work in many departments; a dept can have many employees.
- In contrast, each dept has at most one manager, according to the *key constraint* on Manages.



Participation Constraints



- Does every department have a manager?
  - If so, this is a *participation constraint*: the participation of Departments in Manages is said to be *total* (vs. *partial*).
    - Every *did* value in Departments table must appear in a row of the Manages table (with a non-null *ssn* value!)





Weak Entities

- A *weak entity* can be identified uniquely only by considering the primary key of another (*owner*) entity.
  - Owner entity set and weak entity set must participate in a one-to-many relationship set (one owner, many weak entities).
  - Weak entity set must have total participation in this *identifying* relationship set.



Aggregation



- Used when we have to model a relationship involving (entity sets and) a *relationship set*.
  - *Aggregation* allows us to treat a relationship set as an entity set for purposes of participation in (other) relationships.

- *Aggregation vs. ternary relationship*:
  - Monitors is a distinct relationship, with a descriptive attribute.
  - Also, can say that each sponsorship is monitored by at most one employee.



Conceptual Design Using the ER Model

- Design choices:
  - Should a concept be modeled as an entity or an attribute?
  - Should a concept be modeled as an entity or a relationship?
  - Identifying relationships: Binary or ternary? Aggregation?
- Constraints in the ER Model:
  - A lot of data semantics can (and should) be captured.
  - But some constraints cannot be captured in ER diagrams.

Entity vs. Attribute



- Should *address* be an attribute of Employees or an entity (connected to Employees by a relationship)?
- Depends upon the use we want to make of address information, and the semantics of the data:
  - If we have several addresses per employee, *address* must be an entity (since attributes cannot be set-valued).
  - If the structure (city, street, etc.) is important, e.g., we want to retrieve employees in a given city, *address* must be modeled as an entity (since attribute values are atomic).

Entity vs. Attribute (Contd.)



- Works_In4 does not allow an employee to work in a department for two or more periods.



- Similar to the problem of wanting to record several addresses for an employee: We want to record *several values of the descriptive attributes for each instance of this relationship.* Accomplished by introducing new entity set, Duration.



Entity vs. Relationship

- First ER diagram OK if a manager gets a separate discretionary budget for each dept.
- What if a manager gets a discretionary budget that covers *all* managed depts?
  - Redundancy: *dbudget* stored for each dept managed by manager.
  - Misleading: Suggests *dbudget* associated with department-mgr combination.





Binary vs. Ternary Relationships

- Constraints:
  - each policy is owned by just 1 employee
  - every policy must be owned by some employee
  - each dependent is tied to the covering policy



Bad design



Better design

Binary vs. Ternary Relationships (Contd.)



- Previous example illustrated a case when two binary relationships were better than one ternary relationship.
- An example in the other direction: a ternary relation Contracts relates entity sets Parts, Departments and Suppliers, and has descriptive attribute *qty*. No combination of binary relationships is an adequate substitute:
  - S "can-supply" P, D "needs" P, and D "deals-with" S does not imply that D has agreed to buy P from S.
  - How do we record *qty*?



Summary

- *Conceptual design* follows *requirements analysis*,
  - Yields a high-level description of data to be stored
- ER model popular for conceptual design
  - Constructs are expressive, close to the way people think about their applications.
  - Note: There are many variations on ER model.
- Basic constructs: *entities*, *relationships*, and *attributes* (of entities and relationships).
- Some additional constructs: *weak entities*, *aggregation*.

Summary (Contd.)



- Several kinds of integrity constraints can be expressed in the ER model: *key constraints*, *participation constraints*. Some *foreign key constraints* are also implicit in the definition of a relationship set.
- Some constraints (notably, *functional dependencies*) cannot be expressed in the ER model.
- Constraints play an important role in determining the best database design for an enterprise.

Summary (Contd.)



- ER design is *subjective*. There are often many ways to model a given scenario! Analyzing alternatives can be tricky, especially for a large enterprise. Common choices include:
  - Entity vs. attribute, entity vs. relationship, binary or n-ary relationship, and whether or not to use aggregation.
- Ensuring good database design: resulting relational schema should be analyzed and refined further. FD information and normalization techniques are especially useful.