KNOWME: Exploring the Role of Mobile Devices in Health Care

Murali Annavaram Robert G. & Mary G. Lane Early Career Chair Electrical Engineering Department University of Southern California

Computing in 2020



- Computing 2020: Yet another paradigm shift
 - Interfacing computing with physical world
 - societal challenges: Health, Infrastructure Efficiency.....
- Vision enabled by three layers of computing
 - On Body Computing Devices
 - Environmental and body sensors, mobile devices
 - Cloud Network
 - Datacenters
 - Resource to synthesize useful info from volumes of data

Mobile Social Networks

- Enabled by advancing capabilities of mobile devices
 - Innumerable sensors, reliable and relatively low cost communication
- Features of this class of applications
 - Each user in a social group contributes their knowledge about their surrounding environments
 - The collective knowledge can be exploited by the community members for personal or social benefit

Body Sensing and Processing Systems Enabling new directions for evidence driven health care

- Efficient, Just in time information and intervention possibility
- Can help motivate, and facilitate self awareness
- Data from real life conditions, not just lab tests
- New research capabilities
- An interdisciplinary enterprise: medicine, public health, technology, policy and society

NOWME for Obesity

- Pediatric Obesity and Metabolic Health: A compelling use domain
 - 33.6% of children above normal weight
 - Overall increase of 5.4% from 2000 to 2004
 - Only 35.8% of HS Students meet suggested daily physical activity rate
 - Estimated Annual Pediatric Obesity Costs
 - \$14B, US [<u>cdc.gov</u>], £3.3B, UK [<u>www.nao.org.uk</u>] and between 3.58%-8.73% of GNP inChina, 2000-05

Ogden et al, JAMA, 2006; YRBS, 2005; Popkin, 2006

KNOWME

- Wireless Body Area Networks (WBANs)
 - An incarnation of people-centric sensing
- KNOWME WBAN
 - Integrates external body sensors with mobile phone
 - Measures bio-sensor signals continuously and in real time
 - Classification algorithms to detect user states
 - Simple classification on phone
 - Complex classification on backend
 - Data transmitted to backend for classification

NOWME Infrastructure

- Combine health sensors with mobile (N95)
 - Metabolic data: ECG, ACC, OXI
 - Emotional data: GSR
 - User initiated data: SMS, speech notes, images/videos, Tweet Feeds
 - Location data: GPS



KNOWME Mobile Client: Software Overview

Client Software role : Sensor, aggregator, analyzer, transmitter & on body compute.



KNOWME for New Domains

- Few domains of interest: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabetes, post injury rehabilitation
- COPD: Integrating new sensors for measuring air quality, spirometry (peak flow), ... into KNOWME-like infrastructure
- Run new class classification and correlation algorithms on the mobile phone for autodetection of disease severity
- Pinning the context with the disease

Mobile as a Center Piece

- As we bring KNOWME to new domains mobile is the center piece
 - Data aggregation, data analysis, automatic user feedback, data communication
- Interdisciplinary research
 - Work with KECK medical team to understand the domain and apply existing standards for data collection
 - Work with signal processing and communication researchers to analyze signals
 - Build new interfaces to improve mobile phone's usability as a medical monitoring device

Challenges on Mobile Device Front

- Mobile devices are battery limited
 - Cannot consume too much energy for analysis and sensing
- Health monitoring cannot impact user's ability to interact with the device for other purposes
 - Reduce monitoring demands in terms of computation and communication
- User friendly application designs
 - Understanding societal demands and change interfaces
- Integration of monitoring into social networks
 - Particularly useful for positive reinforcement, interaction with domain-specific support groups
- Dealing with privacy

essons From Free Living Trials

- Application robustness is key to successful WBAN usage
 - End user compliance depends on robustness and ease of use
- Energy efficiency must be dealt with in all aspects of the WBAN design
 - Choice of programming language to sensor sampling
 - Energy efficiency plays major role in robustness
- Finally, all effort must be transparent to the user
 - User does not care about what is under the hood



- Some data generated from KNOWME experiments
 - Focused on energy efficiency issues in mobile phone
 - Solutions currently being developed to tackle this BACKUP TIMEORMATICA

Good Choices and Bad Choices

- Many choices at each WBAN design stage
 - Initial Dev: Efficiency vs. programming simplicity
 - Sense: Sampling rate vs. accuracy
 - Xmit: Signal quality, ZIP & encryption
 - Local vs. remote compute
- Each choice has dramatic impact on power consumption
- Designer has little knowledge of the energy impact of their choice
- Energy impact varies dynamically
 - Signal quality for data transmission, Indoor/Outdoor GPS, Compression factors

Programming Simplicity vs. Efficiency



- 3 WBAN functions + 3 languages + 3 models
 - QDA: QRS Detection, AES: Encryption, ZIP: 10 min data (180KB)
- PyS60 Energy >> J2ME > C++
 - Runtime environment overheads, memory management
- Programmer efficiency vs. WBANs battery
 - Easy to program most often conflicts with energy efficiency
 - Resource constrained environment & unlikely to improve
 - Tradeoffs tilt toward energy efficiency

Energy Challenge – Sensing



- Dramatic battery drain on N95
 - 200 hours standby time reduced to 5 hours
- Even without external sensors the in-built sensors drain battery
 - GPS reading = 6.6 Joules

One Example: GPS Sensing



- Power consumption varies significantly with the type of GPS
 - Nearly 2X more power for A-GPS over N-GPS
 - A-GPS is accurate within meter

One Example: GPS Sensing



- Power consumption varies significantly with the type of GPS
 - Nearly 2X more power for A-GPS over N-GPS
 - A-GPS is accurate within meter

Compute vs. Communicate

- WBAN data is analyzed for state detection, anomaly detection,...
- Two Choices:
 - Analyze locally on mobile phone and send only interesting events to backend server
 - What is interesting is user/scenario-defined
 - Do nothing on phone and send everything to backend
 - Backend transmits anomaly data to mobile phone
- Trading local compute cost with communication cost
 - Selection must be dynamic for optimal energy consumption

Local Vs Remote Compute: ODA



- J2ME: Local 19J, WiFi XMIT: 1J, ZIP EDGE:24J, EDGE: 16J
- WiFi is preferable BUT uncommon scenario in free-living WBAN
- Else remote compute on EDGE (& no ZIP) better for small pkts
- Even a simple example has no clear choice
 - Signal quality issues make choices even more uncertain
 - Computational demands differ per WBAN & even vary dynamically

Active Energy API

- Provide a set of API for designers to obtain system services at the lowest energy cost, such as GPS, data transmission
- API automatically selects the best implementation
 - Implementation relies on Active Energy Profiling framework
- Current APIs:
 - Initialize()
 - StartMonitoring()
 - StopMonitoring()
 - GetPosition()
 - MakeDecision()
 - SendData()

Active Energy API

- Initialize (Sample Sensor Data, *Anal Func)
 - Called on system start with sampled data and analysis function ptr
 - Collects position-independent configuration parameters
 - Compression cost, ratio
 - Local computation cost on sampled data
 - Store in Config DB
- MakeDecision (Sample Data, Destination IP)
 - Scan WiFi Aps
 - Check config DB for position-dependent params
 - If no match then use Active Energy Profiling
 - Transmission costs for each network interface (scan WiFi Aps)
 - Store profile data in DB (indexed by GPS)
 - Compute local & remote costs
- GetPosition
 - Calls GPS only if WiFi scan is 20% changed

Combat Uncertainty with Active Energy Profiling



Baseline Method

KNOWME Operation

- Sense continuously
- Find state every min
- Get position
- Xmit after 1 min





AEP in Action



essons From Free Living Trials

- Application robustness is key to successful WBAN usage
 - End user compliance depends on robustness and ease of use
- Energy efficiency must be dealt with in all aspects of the WBAN design
 - Choice of programming language to sensor sampling
 - Energy efficiency plays major role in robustness
- Finally, all effort must be transparent to the user
 - User does not care about what is under the hood